

H. Martirosyan

Leiden University

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CLASSICAL ARMENIAN AORIST IN MODERN DIALECTS

This paper aims to present a sketch on the development of the Classical Armenian aorist in the dialects with particular attention on Hamšen, Aramo, and other peripheral dialects, as well as some related issues, such as the aorist augment *e-* and the vowel *-a-* of the first person plural endings in the aorist and imperfect paradigms¹.

1. Classical Armenian aorist

The active endings are: *-i*, *-er*, zero, *-ak'*, *-ē/ik'*, *-in*; 3 sg. augment *e-* when the stem is monosyllabic.

Table 1. The aorist paradigms of Classical Armenian

	<i>lam</i> 'to weep, cry'	<i>berem</i> 'to bring'	<i>sirem</i> 'to love'	<i>t'olum</i> 'to let, leave'
1 sg.	<i>lac'i</i>	<i>beri</i>	<i>sirec'i</i>	<i>t'otli</i>
2 sg.	<i>lac'er</i>	<i>berer</i>	<i>sirec'er</i>	<i>t'oler</i>
3 sg.	<i>e-lac'</i>	<i>e-ber</i>	<i>sireac'</i>	<i>e-t'otl</i>
1 pl.	<i>lac'ak'</i>	<i>berak'</i>	<i>sirec'ak'</i>	<i>t'otlak'</i>
2 pl.	<i>lac'ē/ik'</i>	<i>berēk'/ik'</i>	<i>sirec'ē/ik'</i>	<i>t'otlē/ik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>lac'in</i>	<i>berin</i>	<i>sirec'in</i>	<i>t'otlin</i>

2. Spread of *e-*

In a number of inscriptions one observes a spread of *e-*: 3 pl. *e-t'otlin* (Vayoc' Jor, the village of T'erp', 1264 CE) instead of *t'otlin* (CArm. *t'olum* 'to let, leave', cf. 3 sg. *e-t'otl*); 3 pl. *e-barj-in* in Ani instead of *barjin* (CArm. *bařnam* < **barj-nam* 'to lift, raise', cf. 3 sg. *e-barj*), etc. This is observable even in *c'-*forms the corresponding Classical paradigms of which never had an *e-*. Here are examples from

¹ I am greatly indebted to Kate Bellamy for checking my English. I am also indebted to Rémy Viredaz, Nikolai Kazansky, and Petr Kocharov for making a few corrections.

the Loři and Tavuš regions, the 13th century: *ē-gnec i* ‘I bought’ (Sanahin and Enok‘avan); *e/ē-grec in* ‘they wrote’ (Ōjun and Makaravank‘). One also finds examples that combine the Middle Armenian forms with Classical ones, such as *e-tui* (vs. CArm. *etu* and MArm. *tu-i*), the 1 sg.aor. of *tam* ‘to give’ (Ani, Makaravank‘, etc.; the 11th century onwards); cf. 1 pl. *e-tua(n)k*‘ vs. CArm. and MArm. *tuak*‘.

S. Avagyan [1986: 134–142] discusses the material and concludes that the vowel *e*- of these forms is due to insufficient grammar skills of the authors of these inscriptions. However, there is dialectal evidence pointing to the fact that at least in some cases we may be dealing with really existent forms. In § 6, the examples of *etui* and *etuak*‘ will be discussed in greater detail.

3. First person plural imperfect

The Classical Armenian 1 pl. imperfect ending is characterized by the presence of a vowel *-a-*; thus, for example, in the *e*-conjugation we have the following set of endings: *-ēi*, *-ēir*, *-ēr*, *-ēak*‘, *-ēik*‘, *-ēin*. In Modern Armenian and most of dialects, this *-a-* has been analogically eliminated, but some dialects have preserved it intact. In the Tables 2–3, I present the Classical imperfect paradigm of the verb *utem* ‘to eat’ and its corresponding tense forms (imperfect or past subjunctive) in the dialects of Akn [Ačařyan 1911: 223] (cf. *ibid.*, p. 227 on Sebastia), Hamšen [Ačařyan 1947: 136, 139], and SEA. I also include two paradigms from the region of Dersim, *grem* ‘to write’ in Xarberd/Erzinka, and *k'ašem* ‘to pull, drag’ in Č‘mškacag [Bałramyan 1960: 22, 30]; the latter preserves the *-a-* intact whereas the former is innovative. Interestingly, the forms that are characterized by the archaic *-a-* show innovations too; in Hamšen we see analogical insertion of *-i/y-*, whereas the others have the innovative nasal.

Table 2. The imperfect paradigm of the verb ‘to eat’ in Classical Armenian, the dialects of Akn, Hamšen, and Standard Eastern Armenian

	CArm.	Akn	Hamšen	SEA
1 sg.	<i>utēi</i>	<i>g-üdei</i>	<i>g-udeyə</i>	<i>k-utei</i>
2 sg.	<i>utēir</i>	<i>g-üdeir</i>	<i>g-udeyd(ə)</i>	<i>k-uteir</i>
3 sg.	<i>utēr</i>	<i>g-üder</i>	<i>g-uder</i>	<i>k-uter</i>
1 pl.	<i>utēak</i> ‘	<i>g-üdeank</i> ‘	<i>g-udayk'ə</i>	<i>k-uteink</i> ‘
2 pl.	<i>utēik</i> ‘	<i>g-üdeik</i> ‘	<i>g-udeyk'ə</i>	<i>k-uteik</i> ‘
3 pl.	<i>utēin</i>	<i>g-üdein</i>	<i>g-udeynə</i>	<i>k-utein</i>

Table 3. The imperfect paradigm of the verbs ‘to pull’ in the dialect of Č‘mškacag and ‘to write’ in the dialect of Xarberd/Erznka

	Č‘mškacag	Xarberd/Erznka
1 sg.	<i>gə k‘ašei</i>	<i>gə g‘ərei</i>
2 sg.	<i>gə k‘ašeir</i>	<i>gə g‘əreir</i>
3 sg.	<i>gə k‘ašer</i>	<i>gə g‘ərer</i>
1 pl.	<i>gə k‘ašeank‘</i>	<i>gə g‘əreink‘^y</i>
2 pl.	<i>gə k‘ašeik‘</i>	<i>gə g‘əreik‘^y</i>
3 pl.	<i>gə k‘ašein</i>	<i>gə g‘ərein</i>

4. First person plural aorist and the *e-* augment

As we have seen in Section 1, the same 1 pl. *-a-* is seen in the aorist of Classical Armenian. Here again, some dialects preserve it intact, cf. e.g. the paradigms of *xalam* ‘to play’ and *sirem* ‘to love’ in Hamšen [Ačaryan 1947: 128, 131].

Table 4. The aorist paradigm of the verbs *xalam* ‘to play’ and *sirem* ‘to love’ in Classical Armenian

	<i>xalam</i> ‘to play’	<i>sirem</i> ‘to love’
1 sg.	<i>xalac‘i</i>	<i>sirec‘i</i>
2 sg.	<i>xalac‘ir</i>	<i>sirec‘ir</i>
3 sg.	<i>xalac‘</i>	<i>sirec‘</i>
1 pl.	<i>xalac‘ak‘</i>	<i>sirec‘ak‘</i>
2 pl.	<i>xalac‘ik‘</i>	<i>sirec‘ik‘</i>
3 pl.	<i>xalac‘in</i>	<i>sirec‘in</i>

In old monosyllabic aorist forms of this dialect we find yet another archaism, the augment *e-*². Here is the example of *banam*, aor. stem *bac-* ‘to open’ [Ačaryan 1947: 135].

Table 5. The aorist paradigm of the verbs ‘to open’ in Classical Armenian and the Hamšen dialect

	CArm. act.	CArm. med.	Hamšen
1 sg.	<i>bac‘i</i>	<i>bac‘ay</i>	<i>pac‘i</i>
2 sg.	<i>bac‘er</i>	<i>bac‘ar</i>	<i>pac‘ir</i>
3 sg.	<i>e-bac‘</i>	<i>bac‘aw</i>	<i>pac‘aw, ε-pac‘</i>

² For a discussion of this Hamšen archaism, see [Vaux 2007: 265–266]. It is also present in a neighboring dialect of Xotorjur [Kostandyan 1985: 60].

	CArm. act.	CArm. med.	Hamšen
1 pl.	<i>bac'ak'</i>	<i>bac'ak'</i>	<i>pac'ak'</i>
2 pl.	<i>bac'ēk', -ik'</i>	<i>bac'ayk'</i>	<i>pac'ik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>bac'in</i>	<i>bac'an</i>	<i>pac'in</i>

5. Hamšen *asuš*, aor. stem *ast-* ‘to say’

CArm. *asem* ‘to say, tell, speak’ displays aorist forms based on *ast-* in Hamšen. According to Ačaryan [1947: 134–135], the *-t-* after *-s-* is an epenthesis of a phonetic nature, cf. *almas* ‘diamond’ > *almast*, *t'asel* ‘to puff’ > *t'astuš*, etc. This explanation is not entirely satisfactory because: 1) it is not clear why the *-t-* is only found in the aorist; 2) we expect to see the reflexes of the aoristic *c'-*. I therefore propose the following solution.

In Classical Armenian, the aorist stem of this verb is *asac'-*. This subtype displays both non-syncopated and syncopated aorist forms in Hamšen, namely *xalac'i* and *xalc'i* (see [Ačaryan 1947: 130–131]). It seems therefore likely that Hamšen *asti* etc. derive from the syncopated forms **asc'i* etc.; the development **asc'i* > *asti* is probably due to dissimilation, which may have been triggered or reinforced by the aforementioned *-(s)t-* epenthesis. For the inclusion of the 3 sg. aor. med. into the main paradigm, compare the aforementioned *pac'aw* alongside *ɛ-pac'* (cf. MArm. 1sg. *gтай* vs. CArm. 1sg. *gti* ‘I found’, see Karst 1901: 327).

In the Table 6, I have combined the Classical and Hamšen paradigms with that of Šamaxi/K^yärk^yänj, an easternmost dialect (on which see [Balramyan 1964: 166]):

Table 6. The aorist paradigm of the verbs ‘to say’ in Classical Armenian and the dialects of Hamšen and Šamaxi/K^yärk^yänj

	CArm.	Syncope	Hamšen	Šamaxi
1 sg.	<i>asac'i</i>	<i>*asc'i</i>	<i>as-t-i</i>	<i>asc'i, assi</i>
2 sg.	<i>asac'er</i>	<i>*asc'er</i>	<i>as-t-ir</i>	<i>asc'ir, assir</i>
3 sg.	<i>asac'</i>	<i>*as(a)c'-aw</i> (med.)	<i>as-t-av</i>	<i>asec', asec</i>
1 pl.	<i>asac'ak'</i>	<i>*asc'ak'</i>	<i>as-t-ak'</i>	<i>asc'ink', assink'</i>
2 pl.	<i>asac'ēk', -ik'</i>	<i>*asc'ēk', -ik'</i>	<i>as-t-ik'</i>	<i>asc'ik', assik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>asac'in</i>	<i>*asc'in</i>	<i>as-t-in</i>	<i>asc'in, assin</i>

6. The aorist forms of *tam* ‘to give’ in Aramo and other dialects

In Classical Armenian, the irregular verb *tam* ‘to give’ is characterized by the *e*-augment throughout the paradigm except for 1 pl. and the absence of the vowel *i/e/ē* in 1 sg., 2 sg., 2 pl., and 3 pl. In Middle Armenian (see Karst 1901: 333), dialects and modern Armenian one finds analogical developments:

- 1) the root **tu* is recovered in the 3 sg. form: *et* → *etu*;
- 2) the augment *e*- is eliminated from the paradigm but in some dialects it is preserved in the 3 sg. form;
- 3) the regular endings are added to this new basis: *etu* → *tu-i*, *etur* → *tu-i-r*, etc.

The vowel *-a-* in 1 pl. forms and the augment *e*- are both present in the Aramo dialect, where, for example, *ədvunk'* reflects **e-tuank'* and stands for the CArm. *tuak'*, 1 pl. aorist of the verb *tam* ‘to give’. In what follows I shall discuss this remarkable case in more detail since it simultaneously represents an illustrative combination of archaisms and innovations.

The Classical Armenian aorist forms *etu*, *etur*, and *et*, have been replaced in Aramo by *ədva*, *ədvey*, and *ida* respectively. Laribyan [1958: 47] (cf. *ibid.*, pp. 19, 21) derives 3 sg. aorist *ida* from **etu* (for the vocalic reflections, cf. *ere/ikun* ‘evening’ > *irgäun*, *katu* ‘cat’ > *gada*, *heru* ‘last year’ > *hira*, *herū* ‘far’ > *hərṛā*, etc.) but gives no explanations for the other forms. The Classical 3 sg. form *et* was thus replaced by **etu*, and all the other personal forms, as we shall see, have been reshaped by attaching the regular set of aorist endings to this base **etu*.

The 1 sg. aor. form *ədva* may reflect **etu-i*, in which the ending *-i* is taken from the Classical aorist ending *-i*. The vocalic developments are regular: 1) *e*- > *ə*-, cf. *ezr* ‘edge’ > *əzzír(ä)*, *erek* ‘three’ > *ərk*‘, *erkir* ‘earth’ > *ərgayr*; 2) word-final *-i* > *-a*, cf. *agi* ‘tail’ > *akka*, *aygi* ‘garden’ > *əkka*, *oski* ‘gold’ > *əska*, etc. (see [Laribyan 1958: 19, 20]). The existence of such an analogical form is confirmed by some inscriptive evidence from the 11th century onwards, *ētvi* (Ani, 1058 CE) and *ētui* (Makaravank)³.

³ See [Örbeli et. al. (eds.) 1960: 13, Nr 51; Avagyan 1986: 136–137].

The 2 sg. aor. form *ədvey* in all probability reflects an analogical **etu-er*, with the CArm. 2 sg. aor. ending *-er* (cf. *gišer* ‘night’ > *kišeyr*, *ver* ‘up, above’ > *veyr*, etc.). As to the alternation *-ey* vs. *-e(y)r*, compare the 1 sg. pres. perf. forms: affirmative *ədver im* vs. negative *č'əm idvəy*. See below on the contrast between the archaic *-er* in Aramo and Svedia on the one hand and the innovative *-ir* (seen in Middle and Modern Armenian) in Zeyt'un on the other hand.

The 1 pl. aor. form *ədvunk'* reflects **e-tuank'* according to regular sound laws (cf. *aprənk'* > *abrunk'*, *p'orjank'* > *p'ɔrc'unk'*), and 2 pl. and 3 pl. forms derive from **etu-ik'* and **etu-in* respectively (cf. *cic* > *jäyj*, *hing* > *häyng*), analogically acquiring thus the corresponding Classical endings *-ik'* and *-in*. The existence of 3 pl. aor. **etuank'* is confirmed by, for example, an inscription from the Šxmurat monastery (Tavuš, the village of Całkavan) in 1248 CE, which has the form *ētvank'*; cf. three earlier attestations (12th and early 13th centuries) from the same regions with no nasal — *etuak'*, *ētuak'*, and *ētvak'* [Avagyan 1986: 136].

In the tables below, I have combined these paradigms with those of Middle Armenian [Karst 1901: 333 (= 2002: 315–316); Ant'osyan 1975: 213; Hovsep'yan 1997: 68–69], Modern Western and Eastern Armenian [Ant'osyan 1975: 214], and the following dialects:

1) Western grouping: Svedia in Syria [Ačařyan 2003: 494, 498], Zeyt'un in Cilicia [Ačařyan 2003: 243], Hamšen in the North-Western intergroup [Ačařyan 1947: 137], Muš in the South-Central group [Bałdasaryan-T'ap'alc'yan 1958: 169] and neighboring Sasun [Petoyan 1954: 59], Polis/Constantinople [Ačařyan 1941: 149], Moks [Muradyan 1982: 173]; cf. Šatax, see [Muradyan 1962: 148], 1 sg. *təvi*, Van [Ačařyan 1952: 173];

2) Eastern grouping: Marała [Ačařean 1926: 262], Agulis [Ačařean 1935: 260], Šamaxi [Bałramyan 1964: 129, 162, 167], Kržen [Bałramyan 1961: 151], Hadrut' [Połosyan 1965: 212], Ararat/Lori [Asatryan 1968: 141]. Brief outlines of individual dialects and dialectal maps can be found in [Martirosyan 2013].

Table 7. The paradigm of the verb ‘to give’ in Classical Armenian, the dialect of Amaro, inscriptions, and the Modern Armenian

	CArm.	Aramo	Proto-Aramo	Inscriptions (11th cent. +)
1 sg.	<i>etu</i>	<i>ədva</i>	* <i>etu-i</i>	<i>ētvi</i> , <i>ētui</i>
2 sg.	<i>etur</i>	<i>ədvəy</i>	* <i>etu-e(r)</i>	
3 sg.	<i>et</i>	<i>ida</i>	* <i>etu</i>	

The development of the Classical Armenian aorist in Modern dialects

	CArm.	Aramo	Proto-Aramo	Inscriptions (11th cent. +)
1 pl.	<i>tuak'</i>	<i>ədvunk'</i>	* <i>etua(n)k'</i>	<i>e/ētuak', ētvank'</i>
2 pl.	<i>etuk'</i>	<i>ədväk'</i>	* <i>etu-ik'</i>	
3 pl.	<i>etun</i>	<i>ədväyn⁴</i>	* <i>etu-in</i>	

	MArm.	SWA	SEA
1 sg.	<i>tu-i</i>	<i>tu-i</i>	<i>tv(ec')i</i>
2 sg.	<i>tu-ir</i>	<i>tu-ir</i>	<i>tv(ec')ir</i>
3 sg.	<i>etu-r, eret, tu-aw</i>	<i>tu-aw</i>	<i>tvec'</i>
1 pl.	<i>tuak'</i>	<i>tu-ink'</i>	<i>tv(ec')ink'</i>
2 pl.	<i>tuik'</i>	<i>tu-ik'</i>	<i>tv(ec')ik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>tuin</i>	<i>tu-in</i>	<i>tv(ec')in</i>

Table 8. The paradigm of the verb ‘to give’
in the Western grouping of the dialects

	Svedia	Pr.-Sved.	Zeyt'un	Pr.- Zeyt'.	Hamšen
1 sg.	<i>dva</i>	* <i>tu-i</i>	<i>deve</i>	* <i>tu-i</i>	<i>dvi</i>
2 sg.	<i>dvir</i>	* <i>tu-er</i>	<i>dəvəy</i>	* <i>tu-ir</i>	<i>dvir</i>
3 sg.	<i>i-dör</i>	* <i>e-tu-r</i>	<i>dəvəv</i>	* <i>tu-aw</i>	<i>dvav, ered</i>
1 pl.	<i>dvunk'</i>	* <i>tu-ank'</i>	<i>dəvənk'</i>	* <i>tu-ank'</i>	<i>dvak'</i>
2 pl.	<i>dväk'</i>	* <i>tu-ik'</i>	<i>dəvək'</i>	* <i>tu-ik'</i>	<i>dvik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>dven</i>	* <i>tu-in</i>	<i>dəven</i>	* <i>tu-in</i>	<i>dvin</i>

	Muš	Sasun	Polis	Moks	Van
1 sg.	<i>təv(ec')i</i>	<i>dväc'ə</i>	<i>duvi</i>	<i>təvə</i>	<i>tv(ic')i</i>
2 sg.	<i>təv(ec')ir</i>	<i>dväc'ər</i>	<i>duvir</i>	<i>təvir</i>	<i>tv(ic')ir</i>
3 sg.	<i>təvec'</i>	<i>dväc'</i>	<i>duvav</i>	<i>i-tu</i>	<i>tvec', i-tu(r)</i>
1 pl.	<i>təv(ec')ink'</i>	<i>dväc'ək' (!)</i>	<i>duvink'</i>	<i>təvink'</i>	<i>tv(ic')ink'</i>
2 pl.	<i>təv(ec')ik'</i>	<i>dväc'ək'</i>	<i>duvik'</i>	<i>təvik'</i>	<i>tv(ic')ik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>təv(ec')in</i>	<i>dväc'ən</i>	<i>duvin</i>	<i>təvin</i>	<i>tv(ic')in</i>

Table 9. The paradigm of the verb ‘to give’
in the Eastern grouping of the dialects

	Marala	Agulis	Šamaxi
1 sg.	<i>tuv/řum</i>	<i>tvəm</i>	<i>tur/vi</i>
2 sg.	<i>tuv/řir</i>	<i>tves</i>	<i>tur/vir</i>
3 sg.	<i>tuv/řic'</i>	—	<i>u-tur, tur/vav</i>

⁴ Printed as *ənväyn* in [Laribyan 1958: 47]; probably a misprint.

	Marala	Agulis	Šamaxi
1 pl.	<i>tuv/ruŋk'</i>	<i>tvek'</i>	<i>turink'</i>
2 pl.	<i>tuv/ruk'</i>	<i>tvek'</i>	<i>turik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>tuv/ruŋ</i>	<i>tven</i>	<i>turin</i>
	Kržen	Hadрут‘	Loři
1 sg.	<i>tə/uvi</i>	<i>tuve</i>	<i>təvi</i>
2 sg.	<i>tə/uvir</i>	<i>tuver</i>	<i>təvir</i>
3 sg.	<i>ə-ret, təvuc'</i>	<i>tu/əvav</i>	<i>təvuc'</i>
1 pl.	<i>tə/uvink'</i>	<i>tuvek^ও</i>	<i>təvink'</i>
2 pl.	<i>tə/uvik'</i>	<i>tuvek^ও</i>	<i>təvik'</i>
3 pl.	<i>tə/uvin</i>	<i>tuven</i>	<i>təvin</i>

Notes:

1) For Zeyt‘un *dəvə* < **tui*, cf. *nəvəg* < *nuik* ‘arum lily’ [Ačaryan 2003: 60, 331].

2) All the phonological developments of the vowel *i* in 1 sg. (-i > -a), 2 pl. (-ik‘ > -äk‘) and 3 pl. (-in > -en) are regular in Svedia. As for 2 sg. *dvir*, it goes back to **tu-er* rather than **tu-ir*, cf. *gišer* ‘night’ > *g-išir*, *siseřn* ‘chick-pea’ > *sisir* on the one hand, and *karmir* ‘red’ > *g-yärmer*, *moxir* ‘ash’ > *mäixer* on the other. For the material, see [Ačaryan 2003: 370–374, 377–383].

3) Agulis has no 3 sg. aor. in general [Ačařean 1935: 267]. According to Ačaryan [1935: 145–146, 243], Agulis 1 pl. aor. -V_k‘ comes from -V_{nk}‘ through the loss of the nasal. This is not necessarily the case.

7. Conclusion

Various analogical developments have taken place in dialects; some peripheral dialects preserve archaic features. One finds more than one line of developments from Classical Armenian to modern dialects (not always through Middle Armenian). Inscriptions from North-East of historical Armenia in the 11th century onwards deviate from Middle Armenian but correspond to a dialectal development in Aramo (Syria), the farthest and most isolated dialect in the South-West corner.

Abbreviations

CArm. — Classical Armenian; MArm. — Middle Armenian; SEA — Standard Eastern Armenian; SWA — Standard Western Armenian.

Bibliography

- Ačařyan 1911 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. Hay barbařagtit‘iwn: uruagic ew dasawo-rut‘iwn hay barbařneri (barbařagtitakan k‘artēsov) // Эминский этнографический сборник. Т. 8. М.: Лазаревский Институт Восточных языков, 1911.
- Ačařyan 1913 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. Hayerēn gawařakan bařaran // Эминский этнографический сборник. Т. 9. Тифлис: Лазаревский Институт Восточных языков, 1913.
- Ačařyan 1926 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. K‘nnut‘iwn Marałayi barbaři. Yerevan: EPH hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1926.
- Ačařyan 1935 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. K‘nnut‘iwn Agulisi barbaři. Yerevan: Pethrat, 1935.
- Ačařyan 1941 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. K‘nnut‘yun Polsahay barbari // Gitakan ašxatut‘yunner 19, 1941. P. 19–250.
- Ačařyan 1947 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. K‘nnut‘yun Hamšeni barbaři. Yerevan: HSSR GA hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1947.
- Ačařyan 1952 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. K‘nnut‘yun Vani barbari. Yerevan: EPH hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1952.
- Ačařyan 2003 — Hr. H. Ačařyan. K‘nnut‘yun Kilikiayi barbaři. Yerevan: EPH hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 2003.
- Ant‘osyan 1975 — S. M. Ant‘osyan. Xonarhman hamakargi zargac‘man ənt‘ac‘k‘ə // L. S. Hovsep‘yan (ed.), Hayoc‘ lezvi patmakan k‘erakanut‘yun. Vol. 2. Yerevan: HSSH GA hratarakč‘ut‘yun. P. 159–377.
- Asatryan 1968 — M. E. Asatryan. Lořu xosvack‘ə. Yerevan: EPH hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1968.
- Avagyan 1986 — S. A. Avagyan. Vimagrakan prptumner. Yerevan: EPH hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1986.
- Bałdasaryan-T‘ap‘alc‘yan 1958 — S. H. Bałdasaryan-T‘ap‘alc‘yan. Mšo barbařə. Yerevan: HSSR GA hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1958.
- Bałramyan 1960 — R. H. Bałramyan. Dersimi barbařayin k‘arteze. Yerevan: HSSR GA hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1960.
- Bałramyan 1961 — R. H. Bałramyan. Krženi barbařə. Yerevan: HSSR GA hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1961.
- Bałramyan 1964 — R. H. Bałramyan. Šamaxii barbařə. Yerevan: HSSH GA hratarakč‘ut‘yun, 1964.
- Hovsep‘yan 1997 — L. S. Hovsep‘yan. ŽG dari hayeren jeřagreri hišatakaranneri lezun. Yerevan: Van Aryan, 1997.
- Karst 1901 — J. Karst. Historische Grammatik des Kilikisch-Armenischen. Strassburg: Verlag von Karl J. Trübner, 1901.

- Karst 2002 — J. Karst. Kilikyan hayereni patmakan k'erakanut'yun (Modern Armenian translation from Karst 1901 by D. Alivnyak). Yerevan: EPH hratarakč'ut'yun, 2002.
- Kostandyan 1985 — D. M. Kostandyan. Xotrjuri barbařayin yurahatkut'yun-neri lezvaăšxarhagrakan bnut'agirə // H. D. Muradyan (ed.). Hayereni barbařagitakan atlas: usumnasirut'yunner ew nyut'er. Vol. 2. Yerevan: HSSH GA hratarakč'ut'yun, 1985. P. 46–68.
- Łaribyan 1958 — A. S. Łaribyan. Hayereni norahayt barbařneri mi nor xumb. Erevan: HSSR GA hratarakč'ut'yun, 1958.
- Martirosyan 2013 — Г. К. Мартirosян. Армянские диалекты: характеристика отдельных диалектов // Ю. Б. Коряков, А. А. Кибрик. (ред.). Языки мира. Реликтовые индоевропейские языки Передней и Центральной Азии. М.: Academia, 2013. С. 334–385. [H. Martirosyan. Armianskie dialekty: kharakteristika otdel'nykh dialektov // Ju. B. Koriakov, A. A. Kibrik. (ed.). Iazyki mira. Reliktovye indoeuropeiskie iazyki Perednei i Tsentral'noi Azii [Languages of the World: Relict Indo-European Languages of Western and Central Asia]. M: Academia, 2013: P. 334–385].
- Muradyan 1962 — M. H. Muradyan. Šataxi barbař. Yerevan: EPH hratarakč'ut'yun, 1962.
- Muradyan 1982 — M. H. Muradyan. Urvagic Moksi barbari // H. D. Muradyan (ed.). Hayereni barbařagitakan atlas: usumnasirut'yunner ew nyut'er. Vol. 1. Yerevan: HSSH GA hratarakč'ut'yun, 1982. P. 108–183.
- Örbeli et. al. (eds.) 1960–... — H. Örbeli, S. G. Barxudaryan et al. (eds.). Divan hay vimagrut'yan. Yerevan: HSSR GA hratarakč'ut'yun, 1960–...
- Petoyan 1954 — V. A. Petoyan. Sasuni barbař. Yerevan: HSSH GA hratarakč'ut'yun, 1954.
- Połosyan 1965 — A. M. Połosyan. Hadrut'i barbař. Yerevan: HSSH GA hratarakč'ut'yun, 1965.
- Vaux 2007 — B. Vaux. Homshetsma: the language of the Armenians of Hamshen // H. H. Simonian (ed.). The Hemshin: history, society and identity in the Highlands of Northeast Turkey. London — New York: Routledge, 2007. P. 257–278.